Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):								
R3MSHB	Mountain Mahogany Shrubland							
General Information								
	al contributors may be listed under "Model		nts")					
<u>Modelers</u> Dean Erhard	derhard@fs.fed.us	Reviewers William L. Baker Tim Christiansen	bakerwl@uwyo.edu christta@wsmr.army.mi					
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Barry Johnston bcjohnston@fs.fed.us Rapid Assessment Model Zones						
Shrubland Dominant Species* CEMO2	☐Literature ☐Local Data ✔Expert Estimate	Grea	fornia at Basin at Lakes theast	Pacific Northwest South Central Southeast S. Appalachians				
CHRYS9 SYMPH PRVI	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones 14 24 28 15 25 23 27	Nor	thern Plains ent.Rockies	✓ Southwest				

Geographic Range

This is a minor but relatively widespread PNVG that occurs throughout the northern portion of the Southwest region (i.e., Colorado). The description here focuses more on true mountain-mahogany, which is generally distributed on the west side of the Rocky Mountains in the foothills and mountains of Utah, Colorado, and Wyoming. The range of true mountain-mahogany also extends north into Montana, east into South Dakota and Nebraska, south from Oklahoma into Mexico, and west into Arizona and Nevada. True mountain-mahogany occasionally occurs in Idaho and southwestern Oregon (Marshall 1995).

Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG occurs in the transition zone between the foothill and montane life zones. It is generally a relatively minor inclusion in woodlands and open forestlands. It ranges from roughly 7,000 ft. to 9,500 feet in the upper Rio Grande drainage. This PNVG occurs on relatively xeric sites with thinly- to moderately well developed soils on moderately steep to steep southerly aspects. This PNVG is not intended to cover ocean-spray (HODU) dominated communities on extremely rocky sites (where vegetation is clearly subordinate to rock).

Vegetation Description

The mountain shrubland PNVG is an aggregation of numerous shrubland-dominated ecosystems. Species dominance varies depending on site conditions and by geographic location. Species dominant include true mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus montanus) and sumac (Rhus trilobata) at lower elevations, with several species of rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus spp.), snowberry (Symphoricarpos spp.), chokecherry (Prunus virginiana), serviceberry (Amelanchier spp.) at higher elevations. Antelope bitterbrush (Purshia tridentata) and big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata) may also be present. Gambel's oak is not included here.

Disturbance Description

Historically, this type may have been in a Fire Regime II -- primarily short-interval (e.g., 20-50 yr) stand

replacement fires in the shrub-dominated layer. Nearly all the dominant species in this PNVG have the capability to resprout after disturbance.

Mixed severity fires were modeled here to capture moderate top-kill (25-75%) fire effects.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

If Gambel oak is present, please consult one of the PNVGs that includes Gambel oak (R3QUGA, R3PPGO).

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R0MTSB for the Northern and Central Rockies model zone, but the fire frequency is longer in the Northern and Central Rockies PNVG, probably due to geographic and climatic changes. This PNVG may also be similar to the PNVG R2MSHBwt for the Great Basin model zone, but the proportions of mixed versus replacement fire are opposite in the two regions, probably due to differences in weather and lightning patterns.

Scale Description

|--|

Local observations (Erhard 2004) suggest that the scale of the most common disturbance extent is relatively small. This PNVG is generally small and the disturbance regime is expected to be relatively frequent under historic conditions.

Issues/Problems

Original model information (pre-peer review) was based on experience in the upper Rio Grande drainage (specifically the Rio Grande NF). Peer reviewers added to the geographic distribution and species lists for this PNVG to broaden its geographic inclusion.

Model Evolution and Comments

Additional reviewer included: Brenda Wilmore (bwilmore@fs.fed.us).

Peer review for this type was mixed. Two reviewers agreed with the model parameters. One reviewer felt the fire return interval should be a little longer, putting it into Fire Regime Group III or IV. Another reviewer suggested that R3MSHB and R3QUGA be combined and have a total MFI of 100 years with no mixed or surface fires. As a compromise, the amount of replacement fire in the model was cut in half equally in all classes. As a result, the amount of mixed fire was also reduced. The total MFI changed from 28 years to 55 years. The resulting changes in each class were minimal (<5%), and the proportional distribution remained the same (i.e., dominated by class E). Peer reviewers also added to the geographic distribution and species lists for this PNVG to broaden its geographic inclusion.

Quality control resulted in elimination of rule violations (use of relative age) in all classes except A.

A similar type, Mountain Shrubland with trees (R3MSHBwt) was dropped from the Rapid Assessment based on peer review and quality control. Its mapping rules were combined with this PNVG.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A	15%	Indicator Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Englant Danel	D	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Early1 PostRep		BOGR2	Cover	0%	10 %		
Description		MUMO	Height	no data	no data		
moderately	ssion, usually after frequent stand		Tree Size Class no data				
replacement dominant.	t fires; grasses and forbs	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B	15%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	re Data (for upper layer	lifeform)		
Mid1 Close	d	CEMO2		Min	Max		
Description		CHRYS9	Cover	11%	75 %		
	cover (i.e., line		Height	no data	no data		
	ethod) by weakly	BOGR2	Tree Size Class no data				
sprouting and seed producing shrubs; grasses/forbs dominant in scattered openings.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class C	10%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max				
Mid1 Open		BOGR2	Cover	1 %	10%		
<u>Description</u>		MUMO	Height	no data	no data		
<10% shrub cover, with grasses/forbs dominant in extensive			Tree Size	e Class no data	l		
grasses/forbs openings.	s dominant in extensive	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class D	10%	Indicator Species Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Open		CEMO2		0	Min			Max
Description		BOGR2		Cover		1 %		10 %
<10% shrub	cover, with	MUMO		Height		no data		no data
	hrubs as patchy			Tree Size	Class	no data		
dominant over	erstory (e.g., in rock asses/forbs dominant	Upper Layer Life Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	s	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	50%	Indicator Species		- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed		Canopy Position	•	Min Max				Max
Description	·	CEMO2		Cover		11%		75 %
		CHRYS9		Height		no data		no data
present but d	cover; all age classes	SYMPH MUMO		Tree Size	e Class	no data		
•	hrubs (e.g., in rocky	Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	Layer Lifeform erbaceous hrub Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: hrub hree					
		Dist	turba	nces				
Non-Fire Dist ☐ Insects/Di ☑ Wind/Wea ☐ Native Gra ☑ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	ather/Stress azing	Fire Regime G I: 0-35 year II: 0-35 year III: 35-200 y IV: 35-200 y V: 200+ year	frequen r frequer rear freq year freq	ncy, replace uency, low luency, repl	ement se and mix lacemen	verity ed severity t severity		
Historical Fire Avg: Min: Max:	e Size (acres)	fire combined (and maximum the inverse of f	expresse All Fires show the ire intervires is the	essed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of es). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is erval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are ecise.				
Courses of F	ivo Dovimo Data		Avg FI	Min FI	Max			cent of All Fires
	ire Regime Data	Replacement	75			0.013		73
Literatu		Mixed	200			0.00	5	27
_Local I		Surface						
✓ Expert	Estimate	All Fires	55			0.018	34	

References

Arno, Stephen F.; Gruell, George E. 1983. Fire history at the forest-grassland ecotone in southwestern Montana. Journal of Range Management 36: 332-336.

Arno, Stephen F.; Gruell, George E. 1986. Douglas-fir encroachment into mountain grasslands in southwestern Montana. Journal of Range Management 39: 272-275.

Arno, Stephen F.; Wilson, Andrew E. 1986. Dating past fires in curlleaf mountain-mahogany communities. Journal of Range Management 39(3): 241-243.

Bunting, Stephen C.; Neuenschwander, Leon F.; Gruell, George E. 1985. Fire ecology of antelope bitterbrush in the Northern Rocky Mountains. In: Lotan, James E.; Brown, James K., compilers. Fire's Effects on Wildlife Habitat—Symposium Proceedings. March 21, 1984, Missoula, Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-186. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station:48-57.

Erdman, J.A. 1970. Pinon-juniper succession after natural fires on residual soils of Mesa Verde, Colorado. Brigham Young University Biological Series Vol. XI (2). 58pp.

Floyd, M.L, W.H. Romme, and D.D. Hanna. 2000. Fire History and vegetation pattern in Mesa Verde National Park, Colorado, USA. Ecological Applications 10:1666-1680.

Gruell, George E.; Bunting, Stephen C.; Neuenschwander, Leon F. 1985. Influence of fire on curlleaf mountain-mahogany in the Intermountain West. In: Lotan, James E.; Brown, James K., compilers. Fire's Effects on Wildlife Habitat— Symposium Proceedings. March 21, 1984, Missoula, Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-186. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 58-71.

Johnston, Barry C., Laurie Huckaby, Terry J. Hughes, and Joseph Pecor. 2001. Ecological types of the Upper Gunnison Basin: Vegetation-soil-landform-geology-climate-water land classes for natural resource management. Technical Report R2-RR-2001-01, 858 pp. Lakewood, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. May, 2001.Keeley, J.E. and S.C. Keely. 1988. Chaparral. Chapter 6 (pages 165-207) in: Barbour, M.G. and W.D. Billings (editors). North American terrestrial vegetation. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England.

Marshall, K. Anna. 1995. Cercocarpus montanus. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,

Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, October 29].

Martin, Robert E.; Driver, Charles H. 1983. Factors affecting antelope bitterbrush reestablishment following fire. In: Tiedemann, Arthur R.; Johnson, Kendall L., compilers. Research and management of bitterbrush and cliffrose in western North America. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-152. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 266-279.

Mueggler, Walter F.; Stewart, William L. 1980. Grassland and shrubland habitat types of western Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-66. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, 154p.

Omi, P. and L. Emrisk. 1980. Fire and resource management in Mesa Verde National Park. Contract CS-1200-9-B015. Unfinished report, on file at Mesa Verde National Park.

Paysen, Timothy E.; Ansley, James R.; Brown, James K.; Gottfried, Gerald J.; Haase, Sally M.; Harrington, Michael G.; Narog, Marcia G.; Sackett, Stephen S.; Wilson, Ruth C. Chapter 6: Fire in Western Shrubland, Woodland, and Grassland Ecosystems. In: Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 121-160.

Rice, C. L. 1983. A literature review of the fire relationships of antelope bitterbrush. In: Tiedemann, Arthur R.; Johnson, Kendall L., compilers. Research and management of bitterbrush and cliffrose in western North America. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-152. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 256-265.

Romme, W.H., P. Barry, D. Hanna, and S. White. A wildlife hazard map for La Plata County, Colorado. Final report to the San Juan National Forest, Durango, CO.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Shiflet, Thomas N., ed. 1994. Rangeland cover types of the United States. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management. 152 p.

Spencer, J.R., W.H. Romme, L. Floyd-Hanna, and P.G. Rowlands. 1995. A preliminary vegetation classification for the Colorado Plateau. Pages 193-213 in: C. van Riper III (editor), Proceedings for the second biennial conference on research in Colorado Plateau national parks. National Park Service Transactions and Proceedings Series NPS/NRNAU/NRTP-95/11.

Spencer, A.W. and W.H. Romme. 1996. Ecological patterns, Pages 129-142 in: Blair, R. (managing editor), The western San Juan Mountains: their geology, ecology, and human history. University Press of Colorado, Niwot, CO.

Wright, Henry A. 1971. Shrub response to fire. In: Wildland shrubs—their biology and utilization. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-1. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 204-217.